Journal Title: Flood Hazard Management in

the Government and Private Sector

Volume: Issue:

Month/Year: 1985 Pages: 60-66

Article Author: Rogers, G.O.

Article Title: Community Response to Recurring and Nonrecurring Floods

Call #: TC423 .A87 1985

Location: evans

Not Wanted Date: 04/27/2004

Status: Undergraduate Phone: 3616525159

E-mail: laupstud@archone.tamu.edu

Name: Keleigh Kotzur

Pickup at Evans

Address: 3137

College Station, TX 77843

COMMUNITY RESPONSE TO RECURRING AND NONRECURRING FLOODS 1

George Oliver Rogers University Center for Social and Urban Research University of Pittsburgh

<u>Abstract</u>

Analysis of community response to recurring and nonrecurring floods has significant implications for flood preparedness programs. Comprehensive flood preparedness takes advantage of the most adaptive characteristics of public response to floods. In dealing with the community subjected to a flood, perhaps for the first time in recent history, lessons from recurring flood communities can be utilized in enhancing adaptive response. Conversely, the adaptive aspects of response to nonrecurring floods can be used to increase adaptive behavior in areas where floods recur with some regularity. This paper examines the nature of this cross-over effect and its implications for community preparedness programs.

Introduction

Flooding may well be one of the least problematic hazards confronting human society. While it effects a large proportion of the population, frequent experience with floods and its relatively predictable nature, reduces the band of uncertainty associated with community exposure to floods. Rossi et al (1983) describe the victimization rates for various hazards. Flood victimization by region of the country ranges from a high of 31.7 in the Middle Atlantic States, to 10.7 victimizations per 1,000 households in the Pacific States. Like emergency preparedness officials, people use their database of (emergency) experience in responding to the impending hazard. Rogers (1984) concludes that the experience of living near nuclear power plants has a direct impact on our attitudes about their operation, safety and acceptability. A minimal linkage between prior experience with various hazards, and perception and recognition of other hazards has been suggested (c.f. Rogers, In Press). This paper addresses the general issue concerning the use of experience with a single hazard in making an adaptive community response. To what extent can emergency preparedness take advantage of the

experiences of communities with question examines community r communities stricken with recurr nonrecurring communities, and ho emergency preparedness plans in

Experien

Public recognition of hazard delineating the relatively risky recognition of hazard seems to (Rogers, In Press). Historical hazard as the primary mechanism Many hazards have limitations on duration of the experience, or consequences of particular (us knowledge is comprised of experie this data-bank of knowledge, pric response are inherently related experience has historical meaning self-efficacy associated with dea likelihoods seem to be most stron In press). Furthermore, the stro likelihood of risk result und particularly flooding and hurrica community response to recurring a of prior experience for emergency paper considers the extent to w community may be used to enhan circumstances.

<u>Adapti</u>

Typically adaptive response to a nonstructural. Another way of class the nature of the response in a coordination. Structural mechanism regional level. <u>Technological</u> in in achieving effectiveness. Prim Tennessee Valley Authority and the New Orleans area. Technological responses to the none of the none of the new orleans area.

^{1.} This research was partially supported by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (Cooperative Agreement No. EMW-K-1024). This paper has not been reviewed by FEMA and in no way reflects the views or policies of the Agency. The Author accepts full responsibility for th contents herein and gratefully acknowledges the support, comments and criticisms offered by colleagues at the University of Pittsburgh and the Association of State Floodplain Managers Ninth Annual Conference.

ONRECURRING FLOODS 1

Urban Research rgh

and nonrecurring floods has programs. Comprehensive flood haracteristics of public response to a flood, perhaps for the urring flood communities can be sely, the adaptive aspects of ease adaptive behavior in areas r examines the nature of this y preparedness programs.

matic hazards confronting human e population, frequent experience, reduces the band of uncertainty ossi et al (1983) describe the victimization by region of the ddle Atlantic States, to 10.7 cific States. Like emergency of (emergency) experience in concludes that the experience of act on our attitudes about their inkage between prior experience tion of other hazards has been addresses the general issue the hazard in making an adaptive preparedness take advantage of the

deral Emergency Management Agency has not been reviewed by FEMA and the Author accepts fullfully acknowledges the support, he University of Pittsburgh and Annual Conference.

experiences of communities with different flooding histories. The more specific question examines community response to floods, how the experience gained in communities stricken with recurring floods may be used in responding to floods in nonrecurring communities, and how experience with relatively rare floods can inform emergency preparedness plans in communities of recurring floods.

Experience and Recognition of Hazard

Public recognition of hazard reduces the uncertainty associated with hazards by delineating the relatively risky and potentially risky from the less risky. Public recognition of hazard seems to rest on a foundation of experience and social values (Rogers, In Press). Historically people have relied on actual experience with hazard as the primary mechanism for recognizing potentially hazardous situations. Many hazards have limitations on actual experience, either because of the limited duration of the experience, or because of the delayed or hidden aspect of the consequences of particular (usually technology based) risks. Because ordinary knowledge is comprised of experience, and the perception of hazard rests firmly on this data-bank of knowledge, prior experience, hazard perception, and emergency response are inherently related. While it might be argued that prior emergency experience has historical meaning beyond the particular hazard, by reflecting a self-efficacy associated with dealing with crisis, reported experience and estimated likelihoods seem to be most strongly related among similar hazards (Rogers 1983 and In press). Furthermore, the strongest relationships among experiences and estimated likelihood of risk result under conditions of exposure to single hazards, particularly flooding and hurricanes, in that order. Hence, the examination of community response to recurring and nonrecurring floods addresses the implications of prior experience for emergency preparedness under favorable conditions. paper considers the extent to which prior aggregate flooding experience in a community may be used to enhance emergency preparedness for flooding under other circumstances.

Adaptive Response to Flooding

Typically adaptive response to flooding is considered as either structural or nonstructural. Another way of classifying adaptive responses to flooding describes the nature of the response in terms of required level of investment, effort, and coordination. Structural mechanisms are usually employed at the community, or regional level. Technological in nature, they often require considerable investment in achieving effectiveness. Primary examples include the achievements of the Tennessee Valley Authority and the extensive technological accomplishments in the New Orleans area. Technological responses to flood also include weather monitoring

technologies (e.g. satellite and ground station weather monitoring). These technological systems are typically designed to enhance warning time, and monitor flood progression in an area (Curtis 1985).

Organizational responses are usually directed at regional, community or neighborhood level adaptation to flooding. While some communities and neighborhoods take advantage of technological mechanisms, organizational responses are predominantly used by local governments. Organizational responses such as zoning laws and flood insurance programs are well known (Lally 1985), but other efforts at large-scale sandbagging and sheltering (e.g. Salt Lake City, UT) also reflect organizational effort. More indirect organizational responses include the monitoring of weather, snowpack and river systems, warning and information systems. While many of these systems are technological in nature, reasonably effective organizational systems can either stand alone or work in conjunction with available technology.

Individual or household response to flooding is comprised of adaptive mechanisms employed by individuals or small groups, often families. Because people tend to respond to impending crisis in family groups, the individual/household responses to floods play a central role in overall flood mitigation (Rogers and Nehnevajsa 1984, Mileti, Drabek and Haas 1975, Perry Lindell and Greene 1981, Flynn and Chalmers 1980, Drabek 1984 and Drabek and Stephenson 1971). Hence, it is extremely important that flood plain managers understand the dynamics of public response to flooding so that they are able to develop effective emergency plans. Individual/household level adaptive action usually consists of relatively simple actions taken in response to impending danger. Laska (1985) examines public awareness and perceived usefulness of a broad range of flood mitigation actions, while implications of residential choice, as one individual/household mechanism for flood mitigation, is discussed in terms of purchase behavior (Cross 1985).

Public Response and the Cross-Over Effect

Public response to recurring flooding is characterized by an experiential based understanding of the subjective meaning of the impending event. Prior flood experience under relatively unchanged local conditions creates a contextual meaning for flood warnings (e.g. flood stages interpreted in terms of the meaning established by prior reported or anticipated water levels). The prior flooding experience in a given community or region also establishes the nature of adaptive responses in terms of the timing of potential onslaught and utility of specific actions. People exposed to recurring floods are likely to be operationally better equipped to deal with the implementation of emergency procedures. For example, they

are likely to know how to fil to turn off utility service actions. In essence, they have experience with the hazard. (inadequate, in the sense of bein potentially adaptive response is responses succeed in prior expedevastating beyond expectations with particularly devastating evimpending rare events tend to experience with particularly dev of scarce resources. The critic public alike, distinguish between

Public response to nonrecurrin information concerning adaptive to adapt rather spontaneously be <u>alerted</u> as to the potential actions to be taken in response experience provides the emergency somewhat easier to contrast cris distinguish varying degrees of people to improvise appropriate ϵ emerge as the authoritative lea People without experience may inc the emergency period. People i greater specification of activity the appropriate timing of adaptiv regarding appropriate actions use potential flooding, where to go, take prior to evacuation). In response to the impending flood care not to patronize their const

The cross-over effect from red advantage of enhanced knowledge as communities subjected to repeat effectiveness of individual/hous experience provides direct opportuzoning, flood insurance, and exist repeated flood experience provides weather monitoring). These nce warning time, and monitor

at regional, community or communities and neighborhoods ganizational responses are I responses such as zoning y 1985), but other efforts at Lake City, UT) also reflect nal responses include the ring and information systems. The systems are the reasonably effective in conjunction with available

prised of adaptive mechanisms lies. Because people tend to vidual/household responses to (Rogers and Nehnevajsa 1984, eene 1981, Flynn and Chalmers ce, it is extremely important ublic response to flooding so. Individual/household level actions taken in response to ss and perceived usefulness implications of residential mitigation, is discussed in

Effect

ized by an experiential based ending event. Prior flood creates a contextual meaning in terms of the meaning vels). The prior flooding lishes the nature of adaptive aught and utility of specific by to be operationally better rocedures. For example, they

are likely to know how to fill sand bags, what things to move, where, how and when to turn off utility service, and in general, the effectiveness of alternative actions. In essence, they have had the opportunity to learn from their past experience with the hazard. Conversely, to the extent that prior experience is inadequate, in the sense of being significantly different from the impending hazard, potentially adaptive response is degraded. This arises when: a) inappropriate responses succeed in prior experience, or b) the impending event is potentially devastating beyond expectations fostered by prior events, or c) prior experience is with particularly devastating events. Success of prior inappropriate activities and impending rare events tend to render inadequate response to hazard, while prior experience with particularly devastating events can lead to over response and misuse of scarce resources. The critical point is to help people, emergency officials and public alike, distinguish between the relatively "rare" and "routine" emergencies.

Public response to nonrecurring floods is characterized by a marked need for information concerning adaptive activity. Without prior experience people are left to adapt rather spontaneously to the changing environment. Hence, the public must be $\underline{\text{alerted}}$ as to the potential for hazard, and $\underline{\text{notified}}$ concerning appropriate actions to be taken in response to the impending hazard. The absence of hazard experience provides the emergency manager with some advantages. For example, it is somewhat easier to contrast crisis with the relatively normal, than it is to distinguish varying degrees of hazard. The lack of hazard experience can leave people to improvise appropriate emergency action, but the emergency manager can emerge as the authoritative leader by directing the response to effective ends. People without experience may indeed turn to the emergency official for guidance in the emergency period. People in nonrecurring flood communities are likley to need greater specification of activity than their experienced counter-parts --- including the appropriate timing of adaptive response. People are likely to find suggestions regarding appropriate actions useful (e.g. locating and unifying family, areas of potential flooding, where to go, what to take, where to meet with family, actions to take prior to evacuation). In essence, a need to teach people concerning their response to the impending flood is likely, but emergency officials must exercise care not to patronize their constituents.

The cross-over effect from recurring to nonrecurring flood situations takes advantage of enhanced knowledge associated with prior experience. The experience of communities subjected to repeated flooding provides information concerning the effectiveness of individual/household acts of protection and avoidance. Such experience provides direct opportunity for evaluation of existing programs (e.g. zoning, flood insurance, and existing emergency plans and preparedness). In short, repeated flood experience provides information concerning the <u>programs that work</u>,

and may suggest modifications needed for communities with different flood problems. People in communities with recurring flood situations have an existing knowledge base which helps them distinguish the utility (vs. futility) of specific behaviors. This is in marked contrast to the need for information (i.e. locus and timing of impact, and adaptive behavior) in nonrecurring flood communities. Establishing the experiential context for flooding situations serves not only to get people's attention, but determine their information needs. Providing too much information can be patronizing, while providing too little may leave people unable to respond effectively. People respond to emergencies on the basis of how the warnings stimulate them to behave (Rogers and Nehnevajsa 1984 and White and Haas 1975), but that behavior is directly influenced by the prior experience context. Hence, it is fundamentally important to understand that context and compare and contrast the anticipated event with prior flooding experience in the community. The experience of other communities besieged by floods is important in repeatedly flooded communities when the impending flood is a "rare" or particularly devastating event. By establishing the experiential context, and comparing the impending event to prior experiences emergency officials are most likely to elicit an adaptive response from potentially impacted people.

Conclusions and Implications

in communities where the knowledge base is rather extensive, like it often is in communities with a recurring flood problem and a relatively stable population, emergency officials are primarily responsible for making existing programs available to the public, accurately comparing impending events with prior experience and assisting the public response. Hence, people in recurring flood communities share the responsibility for knowing about appropriate behavior and available institutional support systems in the community. Emergency managers may effectively rely on institutional support when these support systems are relatively well developed --- as they often are in communities besieged by repeated floods. While emergency preparedness drills are very important for effective emergency preparedness, they may be less important for communities impacted by recurring floods because of the experience of repeatedly responding to actual hazards. In nonrecurring flood communities, flood drills comprise a significant part of the contextual experience, and are thus extremely important in obtaining adaptive public response.

Emergency management based on a comprehensive understanding of underlying social processes places emphasis on programs that work effectively with a minimum of authoritative control. Emergency management officials can systematically take advantage of likely adaptive responses while avoiding associated pitfalls, by

understanding the existing know managers may minimize costs be standards and regulations to preparedness. Regulations and to be ineffective at best. Rewith emergency management are measures of flood plain manage cannot be used without careful of water resource policy can be established to be effective. Established to be effective. Established to be effective to evin maximizing adaptive public recost.

Curtis, David C.

1985 "How Do You Let Somebo Presented at the Ninth Floodplain Managers (A

Cross, John A.

1885 "Residents' Awareness at the Ninth Annual Co plain Managers (April

Drabek, Thomas E.

1984 "Human Response to Disa Dept. of Sociology, U.

Drabek, Thomas E. and John J. Ste 1971 "When Disaster Strikes, Vol 1:p187-203.

Flynn, C. B. and J. A. Chalmers 1980 The Social and Economic Island U. S. Nuclear Re

Lally, Nicholas

1985 "Flood Insurance and It Presented at the Ninth Floodplain Managers (Ap

Laska, Shirley

1985 "Types of Adaptation to Annual Conference of th (April 29 to May 3, 198

with different flood problems. ons have an existing knowledge futility) of specific for information (i.e. locus and onrecurring flood communities. ituations serves not only to get n needs. Providing too much o little may leave people unable es on the basis of how the ajsa 1984 and White and Haas by the prior experience context. that context and compare and kperience in the community. The pods is important in repeatedly are" or particularly devastating comparing the impending event likely to elicit an adaptive

ions

extensive, like it often is in a relatively stable population, king existing programs available ents with prior experience and ecurring flood communities share ate behavior and available rgency managers may effectively systems are relatively well led by repeated floods. While it for effective emergency mmunities impacted by recurring esponding to actual hazards. In a significant part of the ant in obtaining adaptive public

standing of underlying social effectively with a minimum of tials can systematically take ding associated pitfalls, by understanding the existing knowledge base created by prior experience. Emergency managers may minimize costs by identifying clear need for specific programs, using standards and regulations to fill significant gaps in "natural emergency" preparedness. Regulations and standards set without such identified need are likely to be ineffective at best. Regulations, standards and social process associated with emergency management are local abominations of global and more abstract measures of flood plain management. A national policy of flood plain management cannot be used without careful consideration of local circumstances any more than water resource policy can be established nationally. People, like watersheds, have different character, they bring different resources and understanding to emergency situations. When flood plain management accounts for these differences it is most likely to be effective. Establishing the existing knowledge base and effectively relating the pending event to events of the recent past are fundamentally important in maximizing adaptive public response in emergency situations, while minimizing cost.

References

Curtis, David C.

1985 "How Do You Let Somebody Besides the Computer Know What's Going On?" Presented at the Ninth Annual Conference of the Association of State Floodplain Managers (April 29 to May 3, 1985), New Orleans, LA.

Cross, John A.

1885 "Residents' Awareness of the Coastal Flood Hazard," Presented at the Ninth Annual Conference of the Association of State Flood-plain Managers (April 29 to May 3, 1985), New Orleans, LA.

Drabek, Thomas E.

1984 "Human Response to Disaster: An Inventory of Sociological Findings," Dept. of Sociology, U. of Denver, Denver, CO.

Drabek, Thomas E. and John J. Stephenson 1971 "When Disaster Strikes," <u>J. of Applied Social Psychology</u> Vol 1:p187-203.

Flynn, C. B. and J. A. Chalmers

Lally, Nicholas

1985 "Flood Insurance and Its Relationship to Flood Plain Management"
Presented at the Ninth Annual Conference of the Association of State
Floodplain Managers (April 29 to May 3, 1985), New Orleans, LA.

Laska, Shirley

1985 "Types of Adaptation to Repeated Flooding," Presented at the Ninth Annual Conference of the Association of State Floodplain Managers (April 29 to May 3, 1985), New Orleans, LA.

- Mileti, Dennis S., Thomas E. Drabek and J. Eugene Haas
 1975 <u>Human System in Extreme Environments</u> Institute of Behavioral
 Science, U. of Colorado, Boulder, CO.
- Perry, Ronald W., Michael K. Lindell and Marjorie Greene
 1981 <u>Evacuation Planning in Emergency Management</u> Lexington, Mass.,
 Lexington Books.
- Rogers, George O.

 1983 Toward a Sociology of Risk: Values, Experience, Perceived and Acceptable Risk, Ph.D. Thesis, U. of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA.
 - "Residential Proximity, Perceived and Acceptable Risk," In <u>Low-Probability/High-Consequence</u> Risk edited by Vincent Covello and Robert Waller, Plenum Press, New York.
- In Press "Public Recognition of Hazard," In <u>Uncertainty in Risk Assessment, Risk Management and Decision Making</u>, Vincent Covello et al (eds), Plenum Press, New York.
- Rogers, George O. and Jiri Nehnevajsa
 1984 <u>Behavior and Attitudes Under Crisis</u> <u>Conditions</u>, Government <u>Printing Office</u>, Washington D.C.
- Rossi, Peter <u>et al</u>. 1983 <u>Victims of the Environment</u>, Plenum Press, New York.
- White, Gilbert F. and J. Eugene Haas
 1975 <u>Assessment of Research on Natural Hazards</u>, M.I.T. Press,
 Cambridge Mass.

EMERGENCY PLANNING:

Hurricanes are a seriou hurricanes have been projected (Wiggins 1979). Some official pressures and increasing time have described hurricane scena people in large metropolitan ar

Traditionally communitie adopting emergency response a response planning programs are during a disaster and subsequen immediate response and recovindividuals. Land use managem long-term risk mitigation issuedesign of public and private devices.

In this paper, we examine t use management planning program The primary purpose of this examprogram effectiveness. The auth success of local response.

Data on local response to A to 51 jurisdictions within th communities with both an emer questionnaire was sent to each o possible for both types of profifty-one were returned for an 8