


Structural Planning

Design Issues


(Reference: The Architect's Studio Companion, 3rd ed., Allen & Iano, Wiley, 2002)

Lateral Stability: Wind forces and inertial forces due to ground acceleration are two types of lateral loads buildings must be designed to resist. Without resisting elements or systems, the buildings will move a little, a lot, or suddenly. Stability is the ability to flex and not suddenly “snap” or in other words, the ability to remain in the configuration intended to transfer load.


- Resisting systems include *shear walls*, *braced frames* and *rigid frames*:





- Configurations are important for the systems to be effective. Symmetrical or balanced arrangements are the most effective for resisting the lateral forces from all directions.





Stabilizing elements may be placed within the interior or at the perimeter of a building.



Stabilizing elements should be arranged in a balanced fashion.




Rigid frame structures require no additional bracing or shear walls, as shown in this elevation and plan.




The locations of braced frames or shear walls must be considered in relation to the elevation and plan of the building.


Vertical Load Resistance: Load bearing walls, columns and frames are examples of vertical load resisting elements. They can support a variety of horizontal spanning elements, such as beams and slabs. The order, or modular placement, becomes important, and uniform arrangements are economical. Load bearing walls can also function as shear walls to resist lateral loads. They are commonly constructed of reinforced concrete or masonry.




WALL AND SLAB SYSTEMS
(shown from below)



COLUMN AND BEAM SYSTEMS
(shown from below)




Shear walls may be arranged in a box form to resist lateral forces from all directions.




When combined with other stabilizing mechanisms, shear walls may be arranged so as to resist forces in only one direction of a building.


Horizontal Load Resistance: The combination of vertical and horizontal load resistance is dependant upon construction materials and size or utility of spaces. Slabs can act as diaphragms to transmit loads to the columns, shear wall or frames. They are commonly constructed of reinforced concrete. Rigid frames are commonly steel or monolithically cast reinforced concrete.



Shear walls are commonly used with column and slab systems. In this elevation and plan, the shear walls are shown incorporated into a pair of vertical cores.




Rigid frame structures require no additional bracing or shear walls, as shown in this elevation and plan.




The locations of braced frames or shear walls must be considered in relation to the elevation and plan of the building.

Multistory Design Issues: As a building gets taller, it is exposed to more wind load that it must resist laterally. It also increases in mass at each story, which makes the inertial forces from ground acceleration very complex. The behavior of a structure under these types of loads is dependant upon the arrangement of the masses and the stiffness and placement of the horizontal and vertical load resisting elements.

Cores are quite common to increase stiffness vertically. *Unfortunately, they can't provide effective horizontal load transfer, and should not be relied on as the sole lateral resistant mechanism!* Exterior bracing or tube formations, such as the Sears Tower in Chicago, are other multistory configurations to resist lateral loads.




Vertical and horizontal “discontinuities” contribute to irregular or poor lateral response. Vertical discontinuities include “cut-outs” in stories, or changes in plan vertically, while horizontal discontinuities include problems such as “soft stories” which have different stiffness from the rest of the structure, and unbalanced placement of shear walls.



Reinforced Masonry

Figure 8.6a In this partial floor plan for a reinforced masonry structure, the wall descriptions are very simple. Note the conservative use of the masonry symbol and the consequent uncluttered appearance of the drawing. The split-bubble referencing system used throughout these drawings directs the reader's attention to several details, depicted on other pages as well as the page on which they originate. Details 1 A-4/A-6 and 3 A-4/A-6 are building sections; details A and B A-4/A-4 are details of the connection to existing concrete columns; and detail E A-4/A-11 is a roof connection detail. In the upper right part of the drawing is the reference to an exterior elevation (A A-4/A-5).



Timber




Figure 8.7a This partial roof framing plan shows the glued-laminated girder and beam system. Note the weight of AC unit 1 and how the structural engineer has addressed the additional loading where mechanical equipment is supported by the roof. (Drawing courtesy of Buehler and Buehler Structural Engineers.)

Common Span Lengths and Depths:

from Structures, 6th ed., Schodek & Bechthold, Pearson/Prentice Hall, 2007

Span Range by System




FIGURE 13.12 Approximate span ranges of different systems. (See also more detailed charts in Chapter 15.)

Timber




FIGURE 15.4 Approximate span ranges for timber systems. So that typical sizes of different timber members can be compared, the diagrams of the members are scaled to represent typical span lengths for each of the respective elements. The span lengths that are actually possible for each element are noted by the maximum and minimum span marks.

Reinforced Concrete




FIGURE 15.6 Approximate span ranges for reinforced-concrete systems. So that typical sizes of different members can be compared, the diagrams of the members are scaled to represent typical span lengths for each of the respective elements. The span lengths that are actually possible for each element are noted by the maximum and minimum span marks.

Steel




FIGURE 15.9 Approximate span ranges for steel systems. So that typical sizes of different members can be compared, the diagrams of the members are scaled to represent typical span lengths for each of the respective elements. The span lengths that are actually possible for each element are noted by the maximum and minimum span marks.